

Coleman Young, Esq.  
TEMPLETON, SMITHEE, HAYES  
HEINRICH & RUSSELL, L.L.P.  
320 S. Polk, Suite 1000  
P. O. Box 15010  
Amarillo, Texas 79105  
(806) 324-0324 – Telephone  
(806) 379-8568 – Telecopy

ATTORNEY FOR RANDI L. CRUM

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AMARILLO DIVISION**

IN RE:

RALPH LYLE CRUM,  
DEBTOR.

§  
§  
§ CASE NO.2-08-20297-RLJ-7  
§ Hearing Set for September 11, 2008  
§ at 1:30 p.m.

**RANDI L. CRUM'S OBJECTIONS TO  
DEBTOR'S AMENDED CLAIMED EXEMPTIONS**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW Randi L. Crum, a creditor and party in interest in the above referenced bankruptcy proceeding and files this her Objections to Debtor's Amended Claimed Exemptions. In support of these objections Randi Crum would show the Court as follows:

1. Debtor filed for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code on May 30, 2008.
2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334. The matter is core pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).
3. Debtor has claimed his amended exemptions under Federal Law.

4. The Debtor has claimed a \$10,000 exemption in furniture and furnishings located at 25 Fenton Hill Road, Weston, Connecticut, including a bronze sculpture Sun and Moon, located at 25 Fenton Hill Road, Weston Connecticut. However, on April 8, 2008, the Memorandum of Decision of the Superior Court, Judicial District of Stamford, in Cause No. FST FA 06 4010407, styled *Randi Crum v. Ralph Crum*, held that Randi Crum was to “retain all the remaining assets in her name as her sole property **as well as the contents of the Weston Home.**” A copy of this Memorandum is attached as an exhibit hereto. Therefore, the Debtor has no interest in the household furniture and furnishings on which he makes a claim of exemption, and that exemption should be denied.

5. The Debtor has claimed exemptions under 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(3) in household furniture and furnishings of \$10,000; including a bronze sculpture he has previously valued at \$20,000. However, 522(d)(3) contains not only an overall monetary limit, but also a per item limit of \$525. The Debtor is therefore required to (a) specifically describe any item he claims as exempt under 522(d)(3) and state the value of the interest he claims as exempt, and (b) not claim an exemption in any item of personal property in excess of \$525. In addition, 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(3) requires that the items to be exempted be held primarily for the personal, family or household use of the debtor or a dependent of the debtor. The Debtor here has had no possession or use of any item of personal property located at 25 Fenton Hill Road for three years, so he cannot claim such property as exempt for his own personal or household use.

6. The Debtor has also claimed as exempt a Group Sama Internacional IRA of \$254,902.04; a Fidelity Retirement Account of \$38,080.64; a Wachovia SEP-IRA of \$79.58; and proceeds of a Fidelity IRA of \$11,000. These exemptions are claimed under 11 U.S.C. §§

522(d)(12); 522(d)(10)(E); § 522(b)(3)(C); *Patterson v. Shumate* and *Roussey v. Jacoway*. The Supreme Court in *Patterson* and *Roussey* said that although a Debtor's interest in an IRA **could not be** excluded from being property of the estate under § 541(c)(2), it could be exempted under 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(10)(E). Thus, the Debtor's argument that his IRA's are **not property of the estate** under *Patterson* is incorrect. As evidenced by the Memorandum attached hereto as an exhibit, the Debtor owes Randi Crum and their children a domestic support obligation which is excepted from any exemption claimed by the Debtor. Specifically, although the Debtor may be able to exempt his IRA's and non-ERISA Retirement Accounts under 11 U.S.C. §§ 522(d)(12) and 522(d)(10)(E), under 11 U.S.C. § 522(c)(1), those exemptions are not effective to eliminate the liability of the Debtors IRA's and non-ERISA Retirement Accounts from the domestic support obligations owed by the Debtor to Randi Crum and their children. The Debtor's IRAs and non-ERISA Retirement Accounts are also not "pension plans" subject to exemption under 29 U.S.C. § 1056(d), and in fact are expressly excluded from ERISA's anti-alienation requirements under 29 U.S.C. § 1051(6). *Patterson v. Shumate*, 504 U.S. at 762-63. Because the Debtor has elected Federal Exemptions, he cannot claim exemptions under 11 U.S.C. § 522(b)(3)(B); however, to the extent permitted, § 522(b)(3)(B) exemptions still are not effective to exempt IRA's and non-ERISA Retirement Accounts from liability for domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C. § 522(c)(1). Therefore, the Movant requests the Court limit any exemption granted for the Debtor's IRA's and non-ERISA Retirement Accounts to provide that such exemptions do not protect such property from liability for the domestic support obligation owed by the Debtor to Randi Crum and their children, including the obligations described in the attached Memorandum.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Randi Crum prays that upon hearing the Court will deny and limit the exemptions claimed by the Debtor as set forth above.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Coleman Young  
Coleman Young  
State Bar No. 22174200  
TEMPLETON, SMITHEE, HAYES,  
HEINRICH & RUSSELL, L.L.P.  
320 S. Polk, Suite 1000  
P. O. Box 15010  
Amarillo, Texas 79101  
Telephone No. 806/324-0324  
Telecopy No. 806/379-8568

ATTORNEY FOR RANDI L. CRUM

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument was served upon the following this 29<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2008, addressed as follows:

Mr. Van Northern NORTHERN LAW FIRM 112 W. 8 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 400 Amarillo, Texas 79101	Via Electronic Notice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested <input type="checkbox"/> United States Regular Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile Transmission <input type="checkbox"/> Via Hand-Delivery <input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Kent David Ries 600 S. Tyler, Suite1300 Box 12058 Amarillo, Texas 79101	Via Electronic Notice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested <input type="checkbox"/> United States Regular Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile Transmission <input type="checkbox"/> Via Hand-Delivery <input type="checkbox"/>

United States Trustee 1100 Commerce Street, Room 976 Dallas, Texas 75242-1496	Via Electronic Notice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested <input type="checkbox"/> United States Regular Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile Transmission <input type="checkbox"/> Via Hand-Delivery <input type="checkbox"/>
---	---

/s/ Coleman Young

Coleman Young